

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/051,484 01/18/2002		01/18/2002	Timothy J. Flohe	ALL21 P-300	1416
277	7590	12/10/2003		EXAMINER	
PRICE HE	NEVELD	COOPER DEWI	BAHTA, ABRAHAM		
695 KENMO	,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O BOX 25 GRAND RA		I 49501		1775	

DATE MAILED: 12/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	•		eldo
	4	Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/051,484	FLOHE, TIMOTHY J.
•	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
		Abraham Bahta	1775
Period f	Th MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspond nc addr ss
THE - External control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) illi apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. & 133).
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 S	September 2003 .	
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.	
3)□ Disposit	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>l</i> cion of Claims		
·	Claim(s) <u>2-10,14-17 and 21</u> is/are pending in t	he application.	
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		•
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>2-10 and 21</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) <u>14-17</u> is/are objected to.		•
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Applicat	ion Papers		·
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner		· .
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	ted or b) objected to by the Ex	kaminer.
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		` '
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		proved by the Examiner.
🗖	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applic	ation No
* (3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list o	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application).
	 The translation of the foreign language prov Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic 	• •	
Attachmen	t(s)		•
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u> .	5) Notice of Information	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)
5. Patent and T	rademark Office	· 0	0-4 (0) 11 (

Application/Control Number: 10/051,484

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CAR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CAR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CAR 3.73(b).

Claims 3-6, 8-10 and 21 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over figures 2-12 of U.S. Patent No. D 472,183. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the parameters of the ornament design recited in the subject application are encompassed by figures 2-12 of the above identified patent.

Art Unit: 1775

Claims 2 and 7 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over figures 2-12 of U.S. Patent No. D 472,183 in view of Yedlin (USP 6,042,903).

Claims 2 and 7 of the subject application require the device to be made of metal sheet; however, Yedlin teaches a three dimensional ornament comprising a plurality of strips which may be spaced apart angularly wherein each strip has a first end and a second end and wherein the first end of each strip is attached to a first spine and the second end of each strip is attached to a second spine. See col. 2, lines 59-64 and Figs.4-7 and 9. The reference teaches the ornament may be made from a sheet of metallic foil. See col. 2, lines 42-45.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the ornament device disclosed in US Patent D 472,183 of a metal sheet so that the sheet of metal may be shaped and adjusted to various sizes of globular or other configurations.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 14-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The closet prior art is USP 6,042,903 to Yedlin which teaches a three dimensional ornament comprising a plurality of strips made of a sheet of metal foil and which may be spaced apart angularly wherein each strip

Application/Control Number: 10/051,484

Art Unit: 1775

has a first end and a second end and wherein the first end of each strip is attached to a first spine and the second end of each strip is attached to a second spine. See col. 2, lines 59-64 and Figs.4-7 and 9.

Page 4

The reference fails to teach or suggest a process for making a three dimensional decorative device comprising the steps of cutting a sheet into two-dimensional shape and cutting the sheet to form a plurality of elongated strips wherein the strips are bent so that adjacent strips are angularly displaced from a reference plane by a progressively greater angle and approximately the same angle and wherein the major surface of each elongate strip is located in a different plane and the vertical planes of the major surfaces of the elongate strips intersect at a single vertical axis.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Applicant's Arguments/Remarks

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-10, 14-17 and 21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Abraham Bahta at telephone number (703) 308-4412. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 11:30 AM -8:00 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor

Application/Control Number: 10/051,484

Art Unit: 1775

Deborah Jones can be reached on (703) 308-3822. The fax phone numbers for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications

and (703) 872-9311 for after Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

A. Bahta

11/17/03

DÉPONA JONES
SUPERIOR MARIE PAR MARI

Page 5